

Trotsky, Lenin and Mao – Reading Hegel
By Leon Trotsky, Mao Zedong, Mazumdar-Sanyal and Lenin

I. Trotsky

Pure Being, such simplicity – a nice guy – several determinations of this heading – imagine Essence, several such reflections, on class action, class spontaneity and class power, even class intensity of exploitation. Finally Notion – efficiency in the tactico-strategic manner, or at least some efficiency in practical speaking, even if it is absolute naïve comments – imagine a man who is this party member.

Note – addition, 1917 – Imagine the power of the people given to a man, now this man is me – a leader.

Note – failure, 1927, I mean the whole thing is tragic, but absolute (phenomenology of Spirit).

Contextualise – Soviet Union, Comrade and Party, Free Love and Alexandra's boyfriend Sirohi.

II. Lenin

Language as efficient as determinations, a philosophical portrait of a militant – imagine the passion for the real engagement in praxis – an eager Being, which then is Essence in practical reflections and then Notion, its simplicity – a talking about the gathering not as gathering but as feelings, I felt it was boring.

III. Mao

Axiomatise Trotsky and Lenin, and then distinguish – dogmatic and variable, which then is simple – Being is a dogmatic kid, and Essence is a Reflection in Poems and finally Notion is conceptual exercises.

Note – Ten Major Relationships. Added. Genius.

Note – 2021, current Chinese situation – Sirohi argues make it efficient with the law.

IV. Mazumdar-Sanyal

Exemplify simple Being in practical talking, chatting and philosophical reading.

Make that a conjectural style.

Note – I mean, Alom is a militant with this style, he just needs praxis as a guide.

V. On China and Cuba – Soviet Union or Hegel (by Shomit Sirohi)

Finally the idea of Soviet Union was efficient as party, Paris commune and even mass line, with cultural organisation as shops. Simple Being and books.